


(Online library) Group Rights as Human Rights: A Liberal Approach to Multiculturalism (Law and Philosophy Library)

## Group Rights as Human Rights: A Liberal Approach to Multiculturalism (Law and Philosophy Library)

*Neus Torbisco Casals*

*audiobook / \*ebooks / Download PDF / ePub / DOC*



Neus Torbisco Casals

# Group Rights as Human Rights

A Liberal Approach to Multiculturalism

Managing Editors:  
Francisco Laporta, *Autonomous University of Madrid, Spain*  
Aleksander Peczenik<sup>†</sup>, *University of Lund, Sweden*  
Frederick Schauer, *Harvard University, U.S.A.*

 Springer

DOWNLOAD 



READ ONLINE

#16152448 in Books 2010-11-23Original language:EnglishPDF # 1 9.00 x .64 x 6.00l, .0 #File Name:  
9048170737263 pages | File size: 62.Mb

Neus Torbisco Casals : **Group Rights as Human Rights: A Liberal Approach to Multiculturalism (Law and Philosophy Library)** before purchasing it in order to gage whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Group Rights as Human Rights: A Liberal Approach to Multiculturalism (Law and Philosophy Library):

Liberal theories have long insisted that cultural diversity in democratic societies can be accommodated through classical liberal tools, in particular through individual rights, and they have often rejected the claims of cultural minorities for group rights as illiberal. Group Rights as Human Rights argues that such a rejection is misguided. Based on a thorough analysis of the concept of group rights, it proposes to overcome the dominant dichotomy between "individual" human rights and "collective" group rights by recognizing that group rights also serve individual interests. It also challenges the claim that group rights, so understood, conflict with the liberal principle of neutrality; on the contrary, these rights help realize the neutrality ideal as they counter cultural biases that exist in Western states. Group rights deserve to be classified as human rights because they respond to fundamental, and morally important, human interests. Reading the theories of Will Kymlicka and Charles Taylor as complementary rather than opposed, Group Rights as Human Rights sees group rights as anchored both in the value of cultural belonging for the development of individual autonomy and in each persons need for a recognition of her identity. This double foundation has important consequences for the scope of group rights: it highlights their potential not only in dealing with national minorities but also with immigrant groups; and it allows to determine how far such rights should also benefit illiberal groups. Participation, not intervention, should here be the guiding principle if group rights are to realize the liberal promise.

From the Back Cover Liberal theories have long insisted that cultural diversity in democratic societies can be accommodated through classical liberal tools, in particular through individual rights, and they have often rejected the claims of cultural minorities for group rights as illiberal. Group Rights as Human Rights argues that such a rejection is misguided. Based on a thorough analysis of the concept of group rights, it proposes to overcome the dominant dichotomy between "individual" human rights and "collective" group rights by recognizing that group rights also serve individual interests. It also challenges the claim that group rights, so understood, conflict with the liberal principle of neutrality; on the contrary, these rights help realize the neutrality ideal as they counter cultural biases that exist in Western states. Group rights deserve to be classified as human rights because they respond to fundamental, and morally important, human interests. Reading the theories of Will Kymlicka and Charles Taylor as complementary rather than opposed, Group Rights as Human Rights sees group rights as anchored both in the value of cultural belonging for the development of individual autonomy and in each persons need for a recognition of her identity. This double foundation has important consequences for the scope of group rights: it highlights their potential not only in dealing with national minorities but also with immigrant groups; and it allows to determine how far such rights should also benefit illiberal groups. Participation, not intervention, should here be the guiding principle if group rights are to realize the liberal promise.